arXiv:1704.02889v1 [math.GT] 10 Apr 2017

GEOMETRICALLY BOUNDING 3-MANIFOLDS WITH THE SAME VOLUME

JIMING MA AND FANGTING ZHENG

Abstract. It is well known that an arbitrary closed orientable 3-manifold can be realized as the unique boundary of a compact orientable 4-manifold, that is, any closed orientable 3-manifold is cobordant to zero. In this paper, we consider the geometric cobordism problem: a hyperbolic 3-manifold is geometrically bounding if it is the only boundary of some totally geodesic hyperbolic 4-manifold. However, there are very rare geometrically bounding closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Let $v \approx 4.3062\ldots$ be the volume of the regular right-angled hyperbolic dodecahedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we show there are at least $\lceil \frac{5n+3}{2} \rceil$ closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds of the same volume $16nv$, each of them bounds a totally geodesic hyperbolic 4-manifold. The proof uses small cover theory over a sequence of linearly-glued dodecahedra.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Geometrically bounding 3-Manifolds.** It is an open question what kind of closed n-manifolds can bound $(n+1)$-manifolds. Farrell and Zdravkovska once conjectured that every almost flat n-manifold bounds a $(n+1)$-manifold, for example, see [6, 4].

There is a well-known result given by Rohlin in 1951 that $\Omega_3 = 0$, which means every closed orientable 3-manifold M bounds a compact orientable 4-manifold (for example, see Corollary 2.5 of [18]). Farrell and Zdravkovska once conjectured [6] that every flat n-manifold M is the cusp section of an one-cusped hyperbolic $(n+1)$-manifold. However, Long-Reid [11] gave a negative answer to this stronger conjecture by showing that if M is the cusp section of an one-cusped hyperbolic $4n$-manifold, then the η-invariant of M must be an integer. Long-Reid also further studied what kind of 3-manifolds bound geometrically [11]. If a hyperbolic n-manifold M is the unique totally geodesic boundary of a hyperbolic $(n+1)$-manifold N, then we say M *bounds geometrically* or M is a *geometrically bounding* hyperbolic manifold. See also Ratcliffe-Tschantz [16] for cosmological motivations of studying geometrically bounding hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Geometrically bounding 3-manifolds are difficult to seek since there are very few examples of hyperbolic 4-manifolds. Moreover, Long-Reid showed [11] that if a hyperbolic closed 3-manifold M is geometrically bounding, then $\eta(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 1.3 of Meyerhoff-Neumann [13], the set of η-invariants of all hyperbolic 3-manifolds is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. So, to some

Date: Apr. 07, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R90, 57M50, 57S25.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic 3-manifolds, geometrically bounding, hyperbolic 4-manifolds, small cover.

Jiming Ma was partially supported by NSFC 11371094.

extend, geometrically bounding 3-manifolds are very rare in the set of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. As far as we know, the following question is wide open:

Question 1.1. *For a hyperbolic closed 3-manifold M with $\eta(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$, is there a hyperbolic totally geodesic 4-manifold N such that $\partial N = M$?*

It is well known from Jorgensen-Thurston's Dehn surgery theory [23] that, given $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there are only finitely many hyperbolic 3-manifolds with the given volume x. The best result in this direction is given by Millichap [14]. More precisely, if considering the function

$$f(x)=\sup\{n| \text{ there are } n \text{ different hyperbolic 3-manifolds with the same volume } v \leq x\},$$

then Jorgensen-Thurston theory implies $f(x)$ is finite and Millichap [14] showed $f(x)$ grows at least factorially.

In this paper, we consider how many geometrically bounding 3-manifolds are there with the same volume. That is, we are focusing on the function

$$f_b(x)=\sup\{n| \text{ there are } n \text{ different geometrically bounding 3-manifolds with same the volume } v \leq x\}.$$

Building on [9] and small cover theory, we have:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $v \approx 4.3062\ldots$ be the volume of the regular right-angled hyperbolic dodecahedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there are at least $\lceil \frac{5n+3}{2} \rceil$ closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds of the same volume $16nv$, each of which bounds a totally geodesic hyperbolic 4-manifold.*

By this theorem, $f_b(x)$ above grows at least linearly, and we believe the lower bound ought to be much larger.

See Ratcliffe-Tschantz [17] for counting questions on the number of totally geodesic 4-manifolds with the same 3-manifold boundary M, and Slavich [19, 20] for other topics on geometrically bounding 3-manifolds. See also the recent paper [10] by Kolpakov-Reid-Slavich for geodesically embedding question on hyperbolic manifolds.

1.2. **Small covers.** Small covers, or Coxeter orbifolds, were studied by Davis and Januszkiewicz in [5], see also [24]. They are a class of n-manifolds which admit locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-actions, such that the orbit spaces are n-dimensional simple polyhedra. The algebraic and topological properties of a small cover are closely related to the combinatorics of the orbit polyhedron and the coloring on its boundary. For example, the *mod* 2 Betti number $\beta_i^{(2)}$ of a small cover M over the polytope L agrees with h_i, where $h = (h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ is the h-vector of the polytope L [5].

Those manifolds admitting locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-actions form a class wider than small covers. We will say more about this topic in Section 2, and here we just give the definition for the convenience of the statement of Theorem 1.5 below.

Definition 1.3. Let L be a n-dimensional simple polytope, $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of co-dim one faces of L. A $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-*coloring* is a map $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ such that $\lambda(F_1)$, $\lambda(F_2), \ldots, \lambda(F_n)$ generate a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, whenever the facets F_1, $F_2, \cdots, F_n$ in $\mathcal{F}$ sharing a common vertex.

Conversely, through Proposition 1.7 of [5], from a $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-coloring λ and a principal $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-bundle over an n-dimensional simple polytope L, we can get a unique closed n-manifold M. In particular, from a $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-coloring λ and the trivial principal $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-bundle over the polytope L, we can obtain an n-manifold M which depends only on the coloring λ. By this we can take 2^k copies of L, $L \times \{g\}$ for each $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k$, to construct a quotient space $M(L, \lambda)$ under the following gluing rule:

(1.1)

$$(p, g_1) \sim (q, g_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} p = q \text{ and } g_1 = g_2, & \text{if } p \text{ lies in the interior of } L, \\ p = q \text{ and } g_1 g_2^{-1} \in G_f, & \text{if } p \text{ lies in } \partial L \text{ and } f \text{ is the face containing } p \text{ as an interior point.} \end{cases}$$

Here for a face f of the simple polytope L, G_f is the subgroup generates by $\lambda(F_{i_1}), \lambda(F_{i_2}), \ldots, \lambda(F_{i_k})$, where $f = F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \ldots \cap F_{i_k}$ and $F_{i_j} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then it is easy to see $M(L, \lambda)$ is a closed n-manifold.

A simple example is that if we color the four co-dimensional one faces of a tetrahedron by e_1, e_2, e_3 and $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ respectively, where e_1, e_2 and e_3 are the standard generators of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. Then from the above construction, we get the closed orientable 3-manifold $\mathbb{RP}^3$. It should be noted that a tetrahedron admits a unique right-angled spherical structure, and these spherical structures on each copy of the tetrahedron are glued together to generate the unique spherical structure on $\mathbb{RP}^3$. This point of view appeal in this paper. In following statements of this section, we make P the regular right-angled hyperbolic dodecahedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with twelve 2-dimensional facets. We set nP to be the linearly-glued of n copies of P and it is obvious that nP has 12 pentagonal facets and $5n - 5$ hexagonal facets, see Section 2.5 for more details.

Definition 1.4. From a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on the polytope nP, we obtain a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ on nP by following manners: taking the natural basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ by e_1, e_2, e_3 and adding a new element e_4 to generate $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ that takes $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ as a subgroup. For each facet F of nP, if $\lambda(F) = \Sigma_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i$, $x_i = 1$ *or* 0, then we take $\delta(F) = \Sigma_{i=1}^4 x_i e_i$, where $x_4 = 1 + \Sigma_{i=1}^3 x_i \bmod 2$. Here the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ is called *non-orientable* when the 3-manifold $M(nP, \lambda)$ is non-orientable. Furthermore, if the 3-manifold $M(nP, \delta)$ is orientable, then the $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ is called an *admissible extension* of λ or a *natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring associated to λ* (say a *natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension* of λ for short). In this case, $M(nP, \delta)$ is the orientable double cover of $M(nP, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1.5. *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and each odd integer $k \in [1, 5n+3]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on the polytope nP, such that for the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ associated to λ, the first Betti number of the orientable 3-manifold $M(nP, \delta)$ is k.*

As one orientable 3-manifold M may double cover many non-orientable 3-manifolds, we must show the orientable 3-manifolds under consideration are not homeomorphic in order to prove Theorem 1.2 above. And here the first Betti number is the classification index we adopt in counting the lower bound of the number of those required homeomorphic classes.

Now on one hand, based on Theorem 1.2, for a given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and an odd integer $k \in [1, 5n + 3]$, we can construct an orientable 3-manifold $M(nP, \delta)$ whose first Betti number is exactly k. Moreover, we believe that the inverse side is true as well. That means the first Betti numbers of all possible manifolds $M(nP, \delta)$ obtained from all possible non-orientable

$\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colorings λ over the polytope nP are definitely the odd integers in $[1, 5n+3]$. The second inverse statement so far is only checked by programming and we haven't proof it precisely yet. So we here just list them together as a question:

Question 1.6. *Is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the first Betti number of $M(nP, \delta)$, where δ is the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring associated to a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on nP, if and only if k is odd in $[1, 5n+3]$?*

Proof of Theorem 1.2: For a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on the polytope nP, there is a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension δ on nP. Both $M(nP, \delta)$ and $M(nP, \lambda)$ are 3-manifolds and $M(nP, \delta)$ is the orientable double cover of $M(nP, \lambda)$. See Section 3, in particular, Proposition 3.5 for more details.

Now there are two methods to show $M(nP, \delta)$ is geometrically bounding: firstly we may use Proposition 2.9 in [9] to extend the $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ on the 3-dimensional polytope nP to a $\mathbb{Z}_2^5$-coloring ε on the 4-dimensional polytope nE. Here nE is a 4-dimensional polytope obtained from linearly-glued n copies of 120-cell E. Then $M(nE, \varepsilon)$ is an orientable hyperbolic 4-manifold in which $M(nP, \lambda)$ can be embedded. Secondly since $M(nP, \delta)$ is the orientable double cover of $M(nP, \lambda)$, we can thus apply Corollary 8 of [12] directly for $M(nP, \delta)$ admits a fixed-point free orientation-reversing involution. Therefore $M(nE, \varepsilon) - M(nP, \lambda)$ is a totally geodesic hyperbolic 4-manifold with boundary $M(nP, \delta)$.

Now from Theorem 1.5, Theorem 1.2 follows. □

Outline of the paper: in Section 2, we give some preliminaries on algebraic theory of small covers. In Section 3, we show a lemma, which is the key of our paper. In Section 4 and Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.5 for n is even and odd respectively.

2. **Preliminaries**

2.1. **Polyhedral product.** Let K be an abstract simplicial complex with ground set $[m] := \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, so we have $\emptyset \in K \subset 2^{[m]}$. If $\sigma \in K$, then for all $\tau \subset \sigma$ we have $\tau \in K$. We associate m pairs of topological spaces to K: $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) := (X_i, A_i)_{i=1}^m$. Then the corresponding *polyhedral product* is

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K := \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} D(\sigma),\ D(\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & \text{if} \quad i \in \sigma, \\ A_i & \text{if} \quad otherwise. \end{cases}$$

That is to say $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K = \{x \in \prod_{i=1}^m X_i | \sigma_x \in K\}$ when $\sigma_x = \{i | x_i \in X_i \backslash A_i\}$ are defined for every $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^m \in \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$. If every (X_i, A_i) is the same pair (X, A), for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^K$ is abbreviated as $(X, A)^K$. Specially, $(D^1, S^0)^K$ is defined as a *real moment-angle complex*, denoted by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$.

By Davis [3] and L. Cai [1], $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ is a topological n-manifold if and only if K is a generalized homology $(n-1)$-sphere K with $\pi_1(|K|) = 1$ when $n \neq 1, 2$. Specially, assuming L to be a n-dimensional simple polytope and K is the dual of the boundary of L. Then $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ is definitely to be a topological n-manifold.

Example 2.1. Let K to be the 1-skeleton of the 2-simplex. That is $K = 2^{[3]} \backslash \{123\}$. The maximal simplices of K are $\{1, 2\}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{1, 3\}$. Then we have

$$(D^1, S^0)^K = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_{K^1} = D^1 \times D^1 \times S^0 \bigcup S^0 \times D^1 \times D^1 \bigcup D^1 \times S^0 \times D^1 = \partial(D^1 \times D^1 \times D^1) \cong S^2.$$

2.2. **Reconstruction procedure.** For an n-dimensional simple polytope L, let $\mathcal{F}(L) = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\}$ be the set of co-dimensional one faces of L. Taking $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ to be a basis in $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$. Then we define a $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$-*coloring characteristic function*

$$\lambda : \mathcal{F}(L) = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$$

by mapping each F_i to e_i. λ is also named as $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$-*coloring* for short. Because the images of these facets are the basis elements, it is naturally satisfied that $\lambda(F_1), \lambda(F_2), \ldots, \lambda(F_n)$ generate a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, when they share a common vertex.

Then we can construct $M(L, \lambda) := L \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m / \sim$ by the following equivalent relation:

$$(x, g_1) \sim (y, g_2) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} x = y \text{ and } g_1 = g_2 & \text{if } \ x \in \ intL, \\ x = y \text{ and } g_1^{-1} g_2 \in G_f & \text{if } \ x \in \partial L, \end{cases}$$

where $f = F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n-k}}$ is the unique co-dimensional $(n-k)$ face that contains x as an interior point, and G_f is the subgroup generated by $\lambda(F_{i_1}), \lambda(F_{i_2}), \ldots, \lambda(F_{i_{n-k}})$. It is easy to proof that $M(L, \lambda)$ is exactly the real moment-angle complex $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ over its dual $K = (\partial L)^*$, so we also denote the manifold by $M(K, \lambda)$. We use Example 2.2 to illustrate the homeomorphism. And by replacing the facets' set $\mathcal{F}(L)$ with the vertice set of K, the characteristic function λ can also be seen as being defined on K.

Example 2.2. Defining a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function λ on the 2-simplex, namely now $L = \triangle^2$, as show in Figure 2.2.1. $K = (\partial L)^*$ means the same simplicial complex as in Example 2.1. Take the coloring

$$\lambda : \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\} \to \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\},$$
$$(a, b) \mapsto (1, 0, 0);\ (b, c) \mapsto (0, 1, 0);\ (a, c) \mapsto (0, 0, 1),$$

where $(1, 0, 0) = e_1$, $(0, 1, 0) = e_2$ and $(0, 0, 1) = e_3$ are the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$.



Figure 2.2.1: characteristic function of Example 2.2.

Then we will have eight polytopes, namely $\triangle^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3^2$, as shown in Figure 2.2.2 below:



Figure 2.2.2: $\triangle^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3^2$ of Example 2.2.

If $p \in (a,b)$, $(p,g_1) \sim (q,g_2) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} p = q \ (same\ location) \\ g_1 - g_2 \in \{(1,0,0)\} \end{cases}$, then gluing L_1 and L_2, L_3 and L_5, L_4 and L_6, L_7 and L_8 together respectively along the edge (a,b) as shown in Figure 2.2.3.



Figure 2.2.3: the first gluing of Example 2.2.

Similarly, if $p \in (b,c)$, $(p,g_1) \sim (q,g_2) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} p = q \ (same\ location) \\ g_1 - g_2 \in \{(0,1,0)\} \end{cases}$, gluing L_1 and L_3, L_2 and L_5, L_4 and L_7, L_6 and L_8 together respectively along the edge (b,c) as shown in Figure 2.2.4.



Figure 2.2.4: the second gluing of Example 2.2.

Finally, if $p \in (a,c)$, $(p,g_1) \sim (q,g_2) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} p = q \ (same\ location) \\ g_1 - g_2 \in \{(0,0,1)\} \end{cases}$, L_1 and L_4, L_2 and L_6, L_3 and L_7, L_5 and L_8 are glued along the edge (a,c) as shown in Figure 2.2.5. Now we additionally adopt a coordinate for a more precise description. Here L_4, L_6, L_2, L_1 are the four frontier ones that lie in the quadrants clockwise with non-negative x-coordinates. And L_8, L_7, L_5, L_3 are the four back polytopes lying clockwise in the quadrants with non-positive x-coordinates .



Figure 2.2.5: the third gluing of Example 2.2.

Thus we have $M(\Delta^2, \lambda) \cong \mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$.

2.3. **Buchstaber invariant and real toric manifolds.** Let L be an n-dimensional simple polytope, from the construction of the real moment-angle complex $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ we can easily see that there is a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$-action over $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$, where m is the cardinal number of L's facet set $\mathcal{F}(L)$. The maximal rank of subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ that acts freely on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ is called the *Buchstaber invariant* and denoted by $S_R(L)$. Now we take H^r to represent the subgroup that acts on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$ freely, where r is the rank of H^r satisfying $0 \leq r \leq S_R(L)$. Then we can have a smooth closed manifold $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H^r$ by quotient. Those smooth closed manifolds are called *real toric manifolds*. Moreover, there is a non-free $\mathbb{Z}_2^m/H$-action on $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H$, where H is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with its rank larger than $S_R(L)$. And now the quotient space is an orbifold over L. If $S_R(L) = m - n$, $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H^{(m-n)}$ is named as a *small cover*.

$\mathbb{Z}_2^m/H^r \curvearrowright$	$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H^r$	
	$\downarrow$	real toric manifolds $(0 \leq r \leq S_R(L))$
	L	

Then we have a short exact sequence and define the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{m-r}$*-coloring characteristic function* $\lambda_{H^r} = q \circ \lambda$ through a commutative diagram as shown below, where q is the quotient map and i_d is the identity.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & \mathcal{F}(L) & \xrightarrow{i_d} & \mathcal{F}(L) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \lambda & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \lambda_{H^r} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^r & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2^m & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-r} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

By the Four Color Theorem, if L is a 3-dimensional simple polytope, then $S_R(L) = m-3$. So small covers can always be realized on any 3-dimensional simple polytope. The free action requirement ensures that the linear-independent condition always holds at every vertex. That means for each vertex $v = F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_n}$, $\lambda_H(F_{i_1}), \lambda_H(F_{i_2}), \ldots, \lambda_H(F_{i_n})$ can extend to a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$. A re-construction procedure, similar to that of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$, can be applied parallel to all real toric manifolds. Thus there is a one-to-one corresponding between the real toric manifolds $\{\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H, H < \mathbb{Z}_2^m \textit{ and acting freely on } \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K\}$ and the pairs of polytope and characteristic functions $\{(L, \lambda_H)\}$. We always use $M(L, \lambda_H)$ to denote the corresponding real toric manifold.

2.4. **Algebraic topology of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K/H^r$.** In [5], Davis and Januszkiewicz have proved that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficient cohomology groups of a small cover depend only on the underlying polytope and its characteristic function. In 2013, Li Cai [1] gave the $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficient cohomology groups of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{Z}_K$. Based on the work of Cai and Suciu-Trevisanon's result [21, 22] on rational homology groups of real toric manifolds, Choi and Park then gave a formula of the cohomology groups of real toric manifolds [2], which can also be viewed as a combinatorial version of Hochster Theorem [8].

Let K be a simplicial complex on $[m]$. We have a bijective map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \longrightarrow 2^{[m]}$ such that the i-th entry of $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ is nonzero if and only if $i \in \varphi(v)$, where $2^{[m]}$ denotes the power set of $[m]$. Let λ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-coloring characteristic function and the binary matrix

$\Lambda_{(n\times m)} = (\lambda(F_1), \lambda(F_2), \ldots, \lambda(F_m))$ is called a *characteristic matrix*. We denote $row\Lambda$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-space generated by the n rows of Λ, namely the *row space* of the characteristic matrix Λ. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3. (Choi-Park [2])

$$H^p(M(K,\lambda);G) \cong \underset{\varphi^{-1}(\omega)\in row\Lambda,\ \omega\subseteq[m]}{\oplus} \widetilde{H}^{p-1}(K_\omega;G),$$

where K_ω is the full sub-complex of K by restricting to $\omega \subset [m]$. In particular,

$$\beta^i(M(K,\lambda);G) = \sum_{\omega\in row\Lambda} \widetilde{\beta}^{i-1}(K_\omega;G),$$

when $\omega = \emptyset$, $K_\omega = \emptyset$, then $\beta^{-1}(K_\omega)$ is defined to be 1.

Then by Theorem 2.3, we can calculate the Betti numbers of $M(K,\lambda)$ only through the combinatorial information of the colored polytope and the row space of its characteristic matrix. The following is a simple example.

Example 2.4. Calculating the Betti numbers of the Klein bottle $S = M(L,\lambda)$.

The left side of Figure 2.4.1 is a colored 2-dimensional square L and the right side is the corresponding coloring on the dual of its boundary $K = (\partial L)^*$.



Figure 2.4.1: the colored polytope of Example 2.4.

Then the row space is $Row\Lambda =< (1,0,1,0), (0,1,1,1) >= \{(0,0,0,0), (1,0,1,1), (0,1,0,1), (1,1,1,0)\}$.

For $\omega_1 = (0,0,0,0)$, $K_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$. By definition $\beta^{-1}(K_{\omega_1}) = 1$. And also $\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_1}) = \widetilde{\beta}^0(\emptyset) = 0$, $\widetilde{\beta}^1(K_{\omega_1}) = \beta^1(\emptyset) = 0$.

For $\omega_2 = (1,0,1,1)$, then K_{ω_2}, as shown in the Figure 2.4.2(1), is homeomorphic to a point. So $\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_2}) = 0$, $\widetilde{\beta}^1(K_{\omega_2}) = 0$.

For $\omega_3 = (0,1,0,1)$, then K_{ω_3}, as shown in the Figure 2.4.2(2), is homeomorphic to the zero-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^0$. So $\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_3}) = 1$, $\widetilde{\beta}^1(K_{\omega_3}) = 0$.

For $\omega_4 = (1,1,1,0)$, then K_{ω_4}, as shown in the Figure 2.4.2(3), is homeomorphic to a point. Thus $\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_4}) = 0$, $\widetilde{\beta}^1(K_{\omega_4}) = 0$.



Figure 2.4.2: β_1 of a Klein bottle.

Then from Theorem 2.3, we have:

$\beta^0(S) = \beta^{-1}(\emptyset) = \beta^{-1}(K_{\omega_1}) = 1,$

$\beta^1(S) = \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_1}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_2}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_3}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_4}) = 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 = 1$

$\beta^2(S) = \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_1}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_2}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_3}) + \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_4}) = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0.$

That coincides with the well-known result of homology groups of the Klein bottle.

From the example we can easily see that every full-complex K_ω can be represented by a vector $\varphi^{-1}(\omega)$, which is actually a row of the row space $row\Lambda$. Such kind of vector is called the *representative* of K_ω.

2.5. **Object polytopes nP.** In the following, we always assume P to be the regular right-angled hyperbolic dodecahedron in $\mathbb{H}^3$ with twelve 2-dimensional facets. Using nP ($1P = P$) to denote the linearly-glued of n copies of P (as shown in Example 2.5) and nK ($1K = K$) to denote the dual of the boundary of nP. So for each polytope nP, $n \geqslant 2$, there are $n+3$ layers of facets of nP: the first and the last layer is a pentagon respectively, both the second and the $(n+2)$-th layer consist of five pentagons, each layer from the third to the $(n+1)$-th consists of five hexagons. There is no hexagonal layer in $1P$, and the polytope nP has $5n+7$ facets in total. All the polytopes nP, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are right-angled hyperbolic polytopes. Notations nP and nK make sense in the rest of this paper unless other statements.

Example 2.5. Constructing the polytope $2P$ by linearly-gluing 2 copies of the dodecahedron P as shown in Figure 2.5.



Figure 2.5: constructing the polytope $2P$ by linearly-gluing.

Definition 2.6. For a simplicial complex N, denoting $X(N) = (a_{ij})_{m\times m}$ the adjacent matrix of N, where $m = |\mathcal{F}(L)| = |\{vertices\ of\ N\}|$. That means

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if vertex i and j are connected by an 1-dimensional simplex in N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

If N is the dual of a simple polytope L, namely $N = (\partial L)^*$, there is a one-to-one corresponding between facets of L and vertices of N. Thus we have $|\mathcal{F}(L)| = |\{vertices\ of\ N\}| = m$. Then the matrix on this occasion is also called as the adjacent matrix of simple polytope L and can be denoted by either $X(L)$ or $X(N)$.

In order to get more disciplined adjacent matrices $X(nP)$ as n increases, we order the facets of the polytope nP by the following manners: the first and the last layer are ordered as 1 and $5n+7$ respectively; the facets between are labeled layer by layer. For an even layer, we order by doubly siding (start from left) after firstly ordering the middle facet. For an odd layer we also adopt a doubly siding but starts from the right side as shown in Example 2.7.

Example 2.7. The ordering on the facets of the polytope $5P$ is shown in Figure 2.7. Double sidings of even and odd layers are displayed by the arrow-lines on the second and third layers respectively.



Figure 2.7: facet ordering of the polytope $5P$.

Using this ordering manner, we achieve a more unified increasing pattern of the adjacent matrix as shown in Figure 2.8 (the omitted entries are all zeros):



Figure 2.8: adjacent matrixes of the polytopes P, $2P$ and $3P$.

2.6. **Equivariant homeomorphism.** All the real toric manifolds over the same polytope L are G-manifolds of L [5]. For two G-manifolds M_1 and M_2, an equivariant homeomorphism is a homeomorphism $f : M_1 \to M_2$ such that for all $g \in G$ and $x \in M_1$, $f(gx) = gf(x)$. Those two G-manifolds are said to be *equivariantly homeomorphic* if such a map exists.

For an n-dimensional simple polytope L, let $\mathbb{A} = A(L)$ denote the symmetry group of L and $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ to be the general linear group of degree n. Two small covers $M(L, \lambda)$ and $M(L, \mu)$ are *equivariantly homeomorphic* if $\lambda = g \circ \mu \circ a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{A}$ and $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ [7].

We fix the colorings of three facets of the polytope nP, which are adjacent to a fixed vertex, to be e_1, e_2 and e_3. Namely now the general linear group $GL_3(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ have been moduled out. By recursive algorithm programming, we have calculated that the numbers of the characteristic functions of the polytopes $1P$, $2P$ and $3P$ under this circumstance are 2165, 392170 and 57507285 respectively.

2.7. **Useful forms.** To keep the data concise, we encode every $\mathbb{Z}_2^*$-coloring vector by an integer through binary. (For example in the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ case, we can use 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to represent the following seven colorings: $(1,0,0)$, $(0,1,0)$, $(1,1,0)$, $(0,0,1)$ $(1,0,1)$, $(0,1,1)$ and $(1,1,1)$ respectively. Then a characteristic matrix can also be viewed as a characteristic vector. For example, the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function in Example 2.2 is:

$$\lambda : \mathcal{F}(\Delta^2) \longrightarrow (1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1), \text{ where}$$
$$\lambda : (a,b) \longmapsto (1,0,0),$$
$$\lambda : (b,c) \longmapsto (0,1,0),$$
$$\lambda : (a,c) \longmapsto (0,0,1).$$

So the characteristic matrix is

$$(\lambda(\mathcal{F}_1), \lambda(\mathcal{F}_2), \lambda(\mathcal{F}_3)) = (\lambda(a,b), \lambda(b,c), \lambda(a,c)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the corresponding characteristic vector C is $(1,2,4)$, and the row space $row\Lambda = \langle (1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1) \rangle$. By the way, the characteristic function λ, characteristic matrix Λ and the characteristic vector C can be inferred from each other easily. Here the characteristic vector C is in the most concise representing form among them.

3. **A key lemma**

The purpose of this section is to prove Lemma 3.13, which is the key of the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Definition 3.1. Starting from a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on the polytope nP, we can extend it to $2^m - 1$ many $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-colorings on nP by adding a non-zero fourth row to the $3 \times m$ characteristic matrix Λ of λ as shown below, where $m = 5n + 7$ and $* \in \{0,1\}$. Those characteristic functions are called the *extensions* of λ and they naturally satisfy the "linear-independent" condition,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & ... & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & ... & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ * & * & * & ... & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 3.2. A $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ on the polytope nP is *admissible* if there is an $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring extension of λ, denoted as δ, satisfying $M(nP,\lambda)$ is unorientable and $M(nP,\delta)$ is orientable.

H. Nakayama and Y. Nishimura discussed the orientability of a small cover in [15] and below is the main theorem.

Theorem 3.3. (H. Nakayama-Y. Nishimura [15]) *For a simple n-dimensional polytope L, and for a basis $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, a homomorphism $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}$ is defined by $\epsilon(e_i) = 1$, for each $i = 1, ..., n$. A small cover $M(L,\lambda)$ is orientable if and only if there exists a basis $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that the image of $\epsilon\lambda$ is $\{1\}$.*

In particular, a small cover $M(L,\lambda)$ over a 3-dimensional simple polytope L is orientable if and only if it is colored by e_1, e_2, e_3 and $e_1+e_2+e_3$ up to $GL_3(\mathbb{Z}_2)$-action.

In fact, such theorem can be adjusted to meet all real toric manifolds instead of only small covers by merely parallel generalization. We can also use Theorem 2.3 with rational coefficient to re-get this claim. Because the n-th Betti number of a real toric manifold $M(L,\delta)$ is 1 if and only if there is an element in the row space of Δ with all the entries are 1. Here Δ is the characteristic matrix of δ. If $M(L,\delta)$ is non-orientable, then the only possible element of $row\Delta$, satisfying all entries 1, is the sum of the four rows of Δ. That is to say the sum of every column of the characteristic matrix Δ is certainly 1 *mod* 2.

So by Theorem 3.3 and last paragraph, we can have:

Remark 3.4. A $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ is admissible iff λ is non-orientable.

Furthermore, we can obtain the following proposition based on previous discussions and some facts about fundamental group of double covering:

Proposition 3.5. *For every non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the 3-dimensional polytope nP, there is a unique $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring extension δ such that the 3-manifold $M(nP,\delta)$ is orientable, and $M(nP,\delta)$ is the double cover of the non-orientable 3-manifold $M(nP,\lambda)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, every non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the 3-dimensional simple polytope nP has only a unique $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring extension δ such that $M(nP,\delta)$ is orientable. Here the characteristic matrix of δ is the only one satisfying that the sum of every column is 1 *mod* 2.

Denoted by $W(nP)$ the Coxeter group of nP, then there is a short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow W(nP) \xrightarrow{l} \mathbb{Z}_2^m \xrightarrow{q_1} \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \xrightarrow{q_2} \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \longrightarrow 1$$

$\pi_1(M(nP,\delta)) = ker\delta$, $\pi_1(M(nP,\lambda)) = ker\lambda$, where l is a abelization and q_1 q_2 are the natural quotient maps, see [5]. Denoting $\lambda^0 : \mathcal{F}(L) = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ a map that maps each F_i to e_i. Then $\lambda = q_1 \circ \lambda^0$, $\delta = q_2 \circ q_1 \circ \lambda^0$.

Thus $ker\lambda < ker\delta$. Then $M(nP,\delta)$ is the double cover of $M(nP,\lambda)$. □

The $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ on the polytope nP in Proposition 3.5 is called an *admissible extension* of λ or a *natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring associated to* λ (say a *natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension* of λ for short).

From this proposition, on one hand, the existence and the uniqueness of the admissible extension, as being demonstrated in Example 3.6, make sense. On the other hand the orientability of a real toric manifold can be detected easily by the characteristic matrix. Moreover, we can see that $(1,1,0)$, $(1,0,1)$ and $(0,1,1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$, which are the binary form of 3, 5 and 6, are the only three elements whose item sum are 0 mod 2 ($1+1+0 = 1+0+1 = 0+1+1 = 0$ mod 2). So a characteristic vector of a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring, which corresponds to a non-orientable one should contain at least one of 3, 5 and 6. Then by programming computation we select 2155, 392130 and 57507085 out of the 2165, 392170 and 57507285 3-dimensional small covers over the polytopes P, $2P$ and $3P$ discussed in Section 2.5 that are non-orientable manifolds. Those characteristic functions therefore can naturally have admissible extensions.

Example 3.6. Let λ and δ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring over a triangle respectively as shown in (1) and (2) of Figure 3.6. Namely:

$\lambda : \{\{a,b\},\{b,c\},\{a,c\}\} \to \{(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}, \delta : \{\{a,b\},\{b,c\},\{a,c\}\} \to \{(1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1)\}$

$(a,b) \mapsto (1,0), (b,c) \mapsto (0,1), (a,c) \mapsto (1,1); (a,b) \mapsto (1,0,0)(b,c) \mapsto (0,1,0)(a,c) \mapsto (0,0,1)$



Figure 3.6: existence and uniqueness of admissible extension.

Moreover $M(L,\lambda) \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$ is non-orientable and $M(L,\delta) \cong \mathbb{S}^2$ is orientable. Here by Proposition 3.5, δ is the unique admissible extension of λ whose characteristic matrix satisfies that every column is 1 mod 2:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{extending} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\delta}$$

By Theorem 2.3, the Betti numbers of the orientable manifolds recovered by the admissible extension, called as the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension δ, can be computed clearly. And we show this routine in Example 3.7 as a demonstration.

Example 3.7. Calculation of Betti numbers of some $M(nP,\lambda)$:

Figure 3.7 (1) is the polytope P whose 12 facets has been ordered, Figure 3.7 (2) is the simplicial complex $K = (\partial P)^*$ with its 12 vertices being ordered correspondingly.



Figure 3.7: ordered dodecahedron P and the corresponding ordered $K = (\partial P)^*$.

Coloring the polytope P with the characteristic vector $v = (1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 5,\ 3,\ 7,\ 7,\ 3,\ 5,\ 4,\ 2,\ 1)$. We then have a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic matrix:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&0&1&1&1&1&0&0&1&0 \\ 1&0&0&1&1&1&1&1&1&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix}_{3\times 12}.$$

And by Proposition 3.5, the $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring matrix of its admissible extension is

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda \\ 0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0 \end{bmatrix}_{4\times 12}.$$

Now the row space of Δ is $Row\Delta =< (0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0), (0,1,0,0,1,1,1,1,0,0,1,0),$ $(1,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,0,1), (0,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0) >$.

For all $\omega_i \in Row\Lambda$, we calculated its contribution to the Betti numbers in Table 1.

TABLE 1. $\beta^1(M(P,\delta))$.

ω_1=(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)	K_{ω_1}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_1}) = 1$
ω_2=(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)	K_{ω_2}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_2}) = 1$
ω_3=(1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1)	K_{ω_3}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_3}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_3}) = 1$
ω_4=(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)	K_{ω_4}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_4}) = 1$
ω_5=(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	K_{ω_5}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_5}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_5}) = 1$
ω_6=(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1)	K_{ω_6}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_6}) = 1$
ω_7=(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)	K_{ω_7}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_7}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_7}) = 1$
ω_8=(1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)	K_{ω_8}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_8}) = 1$
ω_9=(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	K_{ω_9}		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_9}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_9}) = 1$
ω_{10}=(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	$K_{\omega_{10}}$		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{10}}) = 1$
ω_{11}=(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)	$K_{\omega_{11}}$		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{11}}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_{11}}) = 1$
ω_{12}=(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1)	$K_{\omega_{12}}$		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{12}}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_{12}}) = 1$
ω_{13}=(1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)	$K_{\omega_{13}}$		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{13}}) = 0$, $\beta^1(K_{\omega_{13}}) = 1$
ω_{14}=(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0)	$K_{\omega_{14}}$		$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{14}}) = 1$
ω_{15}=(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	$K_{\omega_{15}}$	$\emptyset$	no contribution to $\beta^1(M(P,\delta))$
ω_{16}=(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	$K_{\omega_{16}}$	$\cong \mathbb{S}^2$	$\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_{16}}) = \beta^1(K_{\omega_{16}}) = 0$, $\beta^2(K_{\omega_{16}}) = 1$

From Table 1 and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\beta^1(M(P,\delta);Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{16} \widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{\omega_i};\mathbb{Q}) = \beta^2(M(P,\delta);\mathbb{Q}) = \sum_{i=1}^{16} \widetilde{\beta}^1(K_{\omega_i};\mathbb{Q}) = 7.$$

For an orientable 3-manifold $M(nP,\delta)$, by the Poincaré Duality, we have $\beta^0(M(nP,\delta)) = \beta^3(M(nP,\delta)) = 1$ and $\beta^1(M(nP,\delta)) = \beta^2(M(nP,\delta))$. So β^1 is the only thing we need to calculate when detecting the free part of $H^*(M(nP,\delta))$. From Theorem 2.3, $\beta^1(M(nP,\delta))$ equals to the sum of 16 reduced zero-th Betti number of 2-dimensional subcomplexes k_{ω_i} of simplicial complex $nK = (\partial(nP))^*$.

Therefore the first Betti number $\beta^1(M(nP,\lambda))$ can be figured out by counting and summing up the component numbers of all those subcomplexes. Here each subcomlpex corresponds to a non-zero vector in the row space $row\Delta$ as shown in Example 3.7.

Such process can actually be accomplished by programming. So we can perform a great number of calculations through a computer. By using **CT-tree** we are able to seek out a certain coloring family that change regularly and therefore construct a geometrically bounding 3-manifold $M(nP,\delta)$. According to the one-to-one corresponding discussed in Section 2.3, constructing such a specific manifold actually means to work out the required characteristic function δ over the polytope nP.

Definition 3.8. A *downward pentagon coloring brick* is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colored figure with five pentagons glued as in Figure 3.1 (1). An *upward pentagon coloring brick* is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colored figure with five hexagons glued as in Figure 3.1 (2). A *hexagon coloring brick* is a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colored figure with five pentagons glued as in Figure 3.1 (3). All the bricks can also be represented by an 5-length integer vector through binary transformation. We adopt a uniform format $S = (a_1\ a_2\ a_3\ a_4\ a_5)$ to denote a coloring brick. Here a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and a_5 are the five colors in$\mathbb{Z}_2^3$.



Figure 3.1: bricks.

In particular, in the case of a $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colored dodecahedron P, there are only one downward pentagon coloring brick, one downward pentagon coloring brick and no hexagon coloring bricks. Moreover, in Section 2.6 we have shown that there are 2155 characteristic functions on P that can recovered as non-orientable manifold before quotient by the dodecahedron P's symmetry group $\mathbb{A}$. By using 120 (12×12)-matrices that encode all the 120 elements information of $\mathbb{A}$, we further moduled out the automorphism of dodecahedron and obtain equivariant homeomorphism classes through computer programming. We obtain exactly 24 non-orientable homeomorphism classes and one orientable homeomorphism classes in all the small covers over the dodecahedron P. Such result also coincides with Corollary 3.4 of Garrison-Scott's paper [7].

Definition 3.9. A $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-*coloring* of the simple polytope nP is a vector valued by facets' colors layer-by-layer and left-to-right. Here the writing format of a coloring vector is separating the first and last item and make every five middle items together.

For example,

$$c = (1\ 24246\ 37171\ 24246\ 1)$$

is a coloring vector of Figure 3.2 (1), whose characteristic vector C is

$$(1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 6,\ 1,\ 7,\ 7,\ 1,\ 3,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 6,\ 1).$$

Figure 3.2 (2) is the order required in Section 2.5. We can get the characteristic vector C from a coloring vector simply by adjusting elements' positions according to the assigned order. For example, the 5-th facet is colored by (0, 1, 0), which is the binary form of 2, so the 5-th item of C is 2.



Figure 3.2: a coloring and the order over the polytope $2P$.

Definition 3.10. Two $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring bricks are said to be *compatible* if the *linear-independent condition* is satisfied at all ten intersecting vertices. They form an *compatible pair*. See the Figure 3.3 (1).

Definition 3.11. A group of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring bricks are *pairwisely compatible* if every two of them are compatible after certain rotation. Here rotation means to rotate the colors of one brick for $\frac{2i\pi}{5}$ left, where $0 \leq i \leq 4$. For example (44262) is obtain by rotating (24426) for $\frac{2\pi}{5}$ left.

Definition 3.12. The *affix set* of a downward/upward pentagon $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring brick S, denoted by $\mathcal{A}(S)$, is a set of colorings in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ that are compatible with S. Namely the linear-independent condition holds at all five vertices as shown in Figure 3.3 (2) and (3).



Figure 3.3: compatible pair.

Now we can prove the key lemma of this section:

Lemma 3.13. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be an even number, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring extension δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = n + 1$.*

Proof. We start with the case $n = 2$. The polytope $2P$ has five layers of facets: the first and the fifth layer is a pentagon, the second and the fourth layers consist of five pentagons respectively, and the third layer contains five hexagons.

Taking three coloring bricks $S_1 = (24247)$, $S_2 = (65372)$, $S_3 = (35716)$. They are pairwisely compatible. Namely there are three compatible pairs:

$$S_1S_2 = (24247\ 65372),$$
$$S_1S_3 = (24247\ 35716),$$
$$S_2S_3 = (65372\ 57163).$$

We still use S_1, S_2, S_3 to denote the coloring bricks even after being rotated. For example we keep $S_2S_3 \triangleq \{23\}$ to represent (65327 57163) although the second brick (57163) of this pair is actually what we rotate $S_3 = (35716)$ by angle $\frac{2\pi}{5}$ from left. But we will claim the elements clearly before adopting the abbreviation sign to avoid misunderstanding. The unified format of our claim is (65327 57163)=$S_2S_3 \triangleq \{23\} \triangleq A$, at which we use i to short for S_i and A_k is abbreviated as an element-assigned compatible pair consisting of S_iS_j. Next we select out $a = 1$, $b = 1$ and $c = 4$ as corresponding affix elements from the affix set of S_1, S_2 and S_3 respectively.

It's obvious that we can use these coloring bricks and their affix elements to build up $\underbrace{\left(\binom{3}{1}\cdot\binom{2}{1}\cdot\binom{2}{1}\cdots\binom{2}{1}\right)}_{n+1} = 3\cdot 2^n$ $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring functions over the polytope nP. The entries of coloring bricks may be adjusted by rotating in order to fit the facet structure of nP as well as obey the adjacent relations required by the three compatible pairs. Denoting

$$(35716\ 24247) = S_3S_1 = \{31\} \triangleq A_1.$$

Now by S=aS_1A_1a (abbreviated as $[a1A_1a]$), we mean the colored polytope $2P$ as shown in Figure 3.4.



Figure 3.4: colored polytope $2P$.

And by the ordering manner required in Section 2.5, the adjacent matrix of $(\partial(2P))^*$ is:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1&1&1&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
1&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
1&1&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
1&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
1&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&0&0\\
0&1&0&1&0&0&1&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0\\
0&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&0&0\\
0&0&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&0&1&0&1&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&1\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&0&1&0&1&1&1\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&1&1&1&1\\
0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&1&1&1
\end{bmatrix}$$

Because the labels of facets and the adjacent relation go with the rotation, parts of corresponding coloring vectors are the same if they yield to the same brick. And here the characteristic vector C of the colored polytope $2P$ is

$$(1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 7,\ 1,\ 5,\ 6,\ 3,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 1).$$

We write λ the characteristic function of C. By Theorem 3.3, it's easy to prove that λ is admissible and the characteristic matrix Δ of its uniquely non-orientable extension is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&1&0&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&0\\ 1&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.1).$$

That is, $M(2P,\lambda)$ is non-orientable and $M(2P,\delta)$ is orientable, where δ is the natural Z_2^4-extension of λ.

Then the row space $row\Delta$, spanned by the four row vectors above, consists of 15 non-zero row vectors as below. In the following we always mean a $(2^4-1)\times(5n+7)$ matrix, where n is from nP, when we refer to the row space of the characteristic matrix of a $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ over nP. Here (3.2) shows all the non-zero row vectors in row space of (3.1).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&0&1&1&0&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&1&1&0\\ 1&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&1&1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1&1&1&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&1&1&1&0&0\\ 1&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&0&1\\ 0&0&1&1&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&0&1&0\\ 1&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&1&0&0&1&0&1\\ 0&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&1&0\\ 1&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&1&1\\ 1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&1&1&1&1\\ 1&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&1&0&0&1&0&1\\ 1&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&0&0&1\\ 0&1&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&1&0&1&1&1&1&0&0\\ 1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1&1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 2.3, we can calculate out $\beta^1(M(2P,\delta))$ through the $\widetilde{\beta^0}$=$\widetilde{\beta_0}$ of its 15 non-empty full-subcomplices K_ω. The zero-th Betti number of each K_ω actually equals to K_ω's connected component number minus one (reduced homology). We define subset $D = [1,\ 2,\ \ldots\ , d] \subset [m]$ to be a *connected index component* if $V = \{v_1,\ v_2,\ , \ldots,\ v_d\}$ is the vertex set of a connected component of K_ω. By observation, we can see D is a connected index component of K_ω if and only if we can always find some elements $j_1, j_2, ..., j_s \in D$ for all $i_1, i_2 \in D$ such that

$$a_{i_1 j_1} = a_{j_1 j_2} = ... = a_{j_{s-1} j_s} = a_{j_s i_2} = 1,$$

where $a_{ij} \in X(K_\omega)$. Here $X(K_\omega)$ is the matrix as defined in Section 2.5.

In order to make things more concise, we use the language of CT-Tree to illustrate our proof. For every i-th row $r_i = (a_{i1}, ..., a_{ij}, ..., a_{im})$ of the adjacent matrix $X(nP)$, where $m = n + 7$ is the number of facets of nP. We define the following indices:

$b(i) := \{j | j > i \ and\ a_{ij} = 1\ when\ a_{ij} \in X\}$ as **i-band(band of i)**, so $b(i)$ is a subset of $[m]$;

$l(i) = |b(i)|$ is $b(i)$'s cardinality;

$r_i^1 := \{j | 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m\ and\ a_{ij} = 1\ when\ a_{ij} \in X\}$ with cardinality l_i;

$r_i^0 := \{1, 2, ...m\} - r_i^1$.

Furthermore, for the adjacent matrix $X(nP)$ of nP, we use $X(nP, r_i)$ to denote the $l_i \times l_i$ complement minor after excluding all q-th rows and q-th columns from $X(nP)$ where $q \in r_i^0$. That is $X(nP, r_i) = \{a_{ij}\}$, for each $a_{ij} \in X(nP)$, $i, j \in r_i^1$.

If we consider the row space $row\Lambda$ with non-zero elements as shown in (3.2). Then:

$$r_1 = (0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 0),$$
$$r_1^1 = \{3,\ 4,\ 5,\ 7,\ 9,\ 10,\ 13,\ 14,\ 16\},$$
$$r_1^0 = \{1,\ 2,\ 5,\ 8,\ 11,\ 12,\ 15,\ 17\}.$$

Now we have

$$X(nP, r_1) = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 3 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 9 & 10 & 13 & 14 & 16 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right],$$

where the first row is exactly r_1^1.

In order to figure out the $\widetilde{\beta}^0$ of the full subcomplex that corresponds to r_1, we apply a **Connect-Trace Tree(CT-Tree) procedure** to $X(nP, r_1)$.

Assuming $r_1^1 = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_l | i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_l\}$, then

$$X(nP, r_1) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 i_1} & a_{i_1 i_2} & \cdots & a_{i_1 i_l} \\ a_{i_2 i_1} & a_{i_2 i_2} & \cdots & a_{i_2 i_l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_l i_1} & a_{i_l i_2} & \cdots & a_{i_l i_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Initially, we pick the first element i_1 in r_1^1 and arrange the information into Table 2 as shown below. Then we get the 1st-branch of the CT-Tree of this round concerning r_1. And here we detail the element denotations of $\{i_j | i_j > i_1\ a_{i_1 j_1} = 1\ a_{i_1 j_1} \in X(nP, r_1)\}$ by $\{i_{11}, i_{12}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)}\}$.

TABLE 2. The 1-th branch.

lead(i_j)	i_j-Band$b(i_j)$	branch structure
i_1	$i_{11}, i_{12}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)}$	1-st branch

Conducting the same operation to every i-th row of $X(nP, r_i)$ where $i \in b(i_1)$, we generate the 2nd-branch of the CT-tree as shown in Table 3. Which actually means placing the $b(i_j)$ row by row where $i_j \in \{i_{11}, i_{12}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)}\}$.

TABLE 3. The 1st and 2nd branch.

lead(i_j)	i_j-Band($b(i_j)$)		branch structure
i_1	$i_{11}, i_{12}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)}$	$b(i_1)$	1st-branch
i_{11}	$i_{111}, i_{112}, ..., i_{11l(i_{11})}$	$b(i_{11})$	2nd- branch
$\vdots$	$\vdots$		
$i_{1l(i_1)}$	$i_{1l(i_1)1}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)l(i_{1l(i_1)}))}$	$b(i_{1l(i_i)})$	

For r_1, its first and second branches are shown as below in Table 4:

TABLE 4. The 1st and 2nd branch of first round CT-tree of r_1.

lead(i_j)	i_j-Band$b(i_j)$	branch structure
3	7, 9	1st-branch
7	9, 13	2nd-branch
9	13	

The second branch is obtained by **Branching a row**. There is only one single band $b(i_1) = \{i_{11}, i_{12}, ..., i_{1l(i_1)}\}$ in the first branch. And the band part of the second branch consist of all the bands of elements of that row, that is ($b(i_{11})$, ... , $b(i_{1l(i_1)})$). We use band as a unit to depict the shelf structure within a branch. There are two bands in the 2nd-branch of r_1 as shown in Table 4. Moreover, the operation of **U-branching a band** means canceling the repeating elements when branching a band and leaving only the one in the top-left position. Then we can define the operation of **U-branching a branch**, which resulting from U-branching every band of a branch and later place them row by row into the preparing TABLE. Thus we can get the (n+1)-th branch ($n > 2$) by U-branching the n-th branch. We generate the CT-tree by U-branching until we reach a branch whose band part is empty after removing the elements that identical with those of the corresponding lead part. Such branch is called a **cadence branch**. Denote the last lead as i_q. Then we can have got a lead vector of CT-tree $r_1^{(1)} := (i_1 \dots i_q)$.

Proposition 3.14. *The full-subcomplex corresponds to $r_1^{(1)}$ is exactly a connect component of K_{r_1}, where K_{r_1} is the subcomplex of K by restricting to $r_1^1 \subset [m]$.*

Proof. By the definition of the connected index component and the way of picking elements for $r_1^{(1)}$, we know that all the entries of $r_1^{(1)}$ are contained in the same connected index component. Ending with cadence branch ensuring the maximality. □

Setting $r_{(1)}^1 = r_1^1 \setminus r_1^{(1)}$ and applying the above CT-tree procedure to $r_{(1)}^1$, we then find the second connected component $r_1^{(2)}$ of K_{r_1}. Again setting $r_{(2)}^1 = r_{(1)}^1 \setminus r_1^{(2)}$ and applying the above CT-tree procedure to $r_{(2)}^1$.

Continuing this procedure until we reach a $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, such that $r_{(t)}^1$ is empty. Then we can conclude that there are exactly t connected components in the subcomplex corresponding to r_1.

For example, for $r_1 = (0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 1,\ 0)$ in the calculation of $\beta^1(M(2P, \delta))$, t equals to 2. That means there are exactly 2 connected components, where $r_1^{(1)} = (3,\ 7,\ 9,\ 13)$ and $r_1^{(2)} = (4,\ 6,\ 10,\ 14,\ 16)$, so $\widetilde{\beta}^0(K_{r_1}) = 1$. These CT-trees are shown below in Table 5 and Table 6.

TABLE 5. The 1st connected component corresponding to $r_1^{(1)}$.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
3	7, 9	1st-branch
7	9, 13	2nd-branch
9		
13		3th(cadence)-branch

TABLE 6. The 2nd connected component corresponding to $r_1^{(2)}$.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
4	6, 10	1st-branch
6		
10	14, 16	2nd-branch
14	16	3th(cadence)-branch
16		

By this method, we can calculate out that $\beta^1(M(2P,\delta))$=3 as shown in Table 7, so we finish the proof of Lemma 3.13 in the case $n = 2$.

TABLE 7. β^1 of $M(2P,\delta)$

i-th subcomlex	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	*total* β^1
$\widetilde{\beta}^0(i)$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

The adjacent matrix of $(\partial(nP))^*$ changes regularly along with the increasing of n (of same parity). Here "regularly" results from the same adjacency changing pattern along n. Figure 3.5 shows the ordered polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$. The adjacent manner of the 17th facets of the polytope $2P$ is the only one that different from the same position of the polytope $4P$, while the facets from 17th to 27th of the polytope $4P$ follow the same order manner of facets from 7th to 17th of the polytope $2P$ by simply plus 10. The same changing pattern makes sense when we compare the adjacency patterns between the polytopes $4P$ and $6P$. Which means the adjacent manner of the 27th facets of the polytope $4P$ is the only one that different from the same position of the polytope $6P$, while the facets from 27th to 37th of the polytope $6P$ follow the same order manner of facets from 17th to 27th of the polytope $4P$ by simply plus 10. Generally speaking, the adjacent manner of (5n+7)th facets of the polytope nP is the only one that different from the same position of the polytope $(n+2)P$ while facets from $(5n+7)$th to $(5(n+2)+7)$th of the polytope $(n+2)P$ are following the order manner of facets from $(5(n-2)+7)$th to $(5n+7)$th of the polytope nP by simply plus 10.



Figure 3.5: orderings of polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$.

From the procedure of the Betti number calculation, we can see the first Betti number of $M(nP, \delta)$ increases steadily if $\widetilde{\beta}^0$ of each full-subcomplex increases in a certain way. The zero-th Betti number, or in other word the number of connected components, of each full-subcomplex is determined by the selected vertices and their adjacent patterns, which are set by characteristic vector and adjacent matrix respectively. From the last paragraph we can see the adjacent matrix change regularly from nP to $(n+2)P$. Then if the last two coloring bricks of $(n+2)P$ are actually the copy of the last two bricks of nP, the 0-skeleton is deemed to increase by constant when changes remain the same within a step, like that from $2P$ to $4P$, and its successive step, like that from $4P$ to $6P$. Such statement can be easily proved by CT-trees.

For example, we now have colored $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$ with coloring vectors

$$[a131a],$$

$$[a13131a] \triangleq [a131a] + \{31\},$$

and

$$[a1313131a] \triangleq [a131a] + 2 \cdot \{31\}.$$

Their characteristic functions are named as $\lambda = \lambda^0$, λ^1 and λ^2 respectively, where the upper index represent how many times are the last two bricks $\{31\}$ of the coloring $[a1\{31\}a]$ of λ being repeated. The non-orientability of these $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring can be checked by Theorem 3.3. Through Proposition 3.5 we can get their natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions $\delta = \delta^0$, δ^1 and δ^2 respectively. That is $M(2P, \delta)$, $M(4P, \delta^1)$ and $M(6P, \delta^2)$ are the orientable double cover of the non-orientable manifolds $M(2P, \lambda)$, $M(4P, \lambda^1)$ and $M(6P, \lambda^2)$ respectively.

By the previous methodology we can calculate out that $\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^1))$=5 as shown in Table 8. And the β^0 of the first subcomplex is 2 by CT-tree process that is displayed in Table 9 and Table 10.

TABLE 8. β^1 of $M(4P, \delta)$.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	*total* β^1
1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

TABLE 9. the 1st connected component.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
3	7, 9	1st-branch
7	9, 13	2nd-branch
9		
13	17, 19	3th-branch
17	19, 23	4th-branch
19		
23		5th(cadence)-branch

TABLE 10. the 2nd connected component.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
4	6, 10	1st-branch
6		
10	14, 16	2nd-branch
14	16, 20	3th-branch
16		
20	24, 26	4th-branch
24	26	5th-(cadence)-branch
26		

Similarly we can calculate out that $\beta^1(M(6P, \delta^2)) = 7$ with details in Table 11. And the β^0 of the first subcomplex is 2 by CT-tree as shown in Table 12 and Table 13.

TABLE 11. β^1 of $M(6P, \delta)$.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	*total* β^1
1	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

TABLE 12. The 1st connected component.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
3	7, 9	1st-branch
7	9, 13	2nd-branch
9		
13	17, 19	3th-branch
17	19, 23	4th-branch
19		
23	27, 29	5th-branch
27	29, 33	6th-branch
29		
33		7th(cadence)-branch

TABLE 13. The 2nd connected component.

lead(i_j)	$b(i_j)$	branch structure
4	6, 10	1st-branch
6		
10	14, 16	2nd-branch
14	16, 20	3th-branch
16		
20	24, 26	4th-branch
24	26, 30	5th-branch
26		
30	34, 36	6th-branch
34	36	7th(cadence)-branch
36		

Thereupon we have

$$\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^1)) - \beta^1(M(2P, \delta)) = \beta^1(M(6P, \delta^2)) - \beta^1(M(4P, \delta^1)).$$

Then it can be inferred that for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\beta^1(M((2j)P, \delta^{j-1})) = \beta^1(M(2P, \delta)) + (j-1)(\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^1)) - \beta^1(M(2P, \delta^0))).$$

It means that the Betti number sequence follows an arithmetic progression if the first three numbers lie in an arithmetic progression. We only need to determine the first item and the common difference of such a sequence. The above analysis can be concluded into the following proposition:

Proposition 3.15. *Given a $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ over the polytope nP. Then for an arbitrary even number $s \geqslant n$, if*

$$\beta^1(M((n+2)P, \delta^{(1)})) - \beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = \beta^1(M((n+4)P, \delta^{(2)})) - \beta^1(M((n+2)P, \delta^{(1)})),$$

then we have

$$\beta^1(M(sP, \delta^{(\frac{s-n}{2})})) = \beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) + (\frac{s-n}{2})(\beta^1(M(n+1)P, \delta^1) - \beta^1(M(nP, \delta))).$$

where $\delta^{(t)}$ represents a $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring over $(n+2t)P$. The characteristic vector of $\delta^{(t)}$ is obtained by duplicating the last two bricks of δ for t times.

Followed by Proposition 3.15 as well as the calculation result of $\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^1))$ and $\beta^1(M(6P, \delta^2))$, we can come up with Table 14.

TABLE 14. β^1 changes in Lemma 3.13.

	$n=2$	$n=4$	$n=6$	$\cdots$	$n=2a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$
1	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
2	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
3	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
4	0	1	2	$\cdots$	$a-1$
5	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
6	0	1	2	$\cdots$	$a-1$
7	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
8	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
9	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
10	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
11	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
12	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
13	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
14	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
15	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
total β^1	3	5	7	$\cdots$	$2(a-1)+3 = n+1$

By now we finally fulfill the proof of Lemma 3.13. □

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 for n is even**

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 for an even $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.13.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be any even number, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = 5n-3$.*

Proof. We still use the three coloring bricks S_1, S_2 and S_3 as we adopted in Lemma 3.13 and we set up

$$(72424\ 65372) = S_2S_1 = \{12\} \triangleq A_1.$$

Following the same idea in Lemma 3.13, we firstly select out a suitable non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope $2P$, whose coloring is $[b2A_1b]$, such that $\beta^1(M(2P, \lambda)) = 7$. Then we repeat the last two bricks for t times in order to construct a coloring vector over the polytope $(2 + 2t)P$ and denote its characteristic function as λ^t. By Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5, we can get λ^t's unique admissible extension δ^t. The sum of every column of δ^t's characteristic matrix is 1 mod 2. That is, $M((2+2t)P, \delta^t)$ is the orientable double cover of the non-orientable manifold $M((2 + 2t)P, \lambda^t)$. Finally we calculate out the increasing law of β^1 as shown in Table 15.

TABLE 15. β^1 changes for Lemma 4.1.

	$n = 2$	$n = 4$	$n = 6$	$\cdots$	$n = 2 + 2t$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$
1	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
2	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
3	2	4	6	$\cdots$	$2t + 2$
4	1	2	3	$\cdots$	$t + 1$
5	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
6	1	3	5	$\cdots$	$2t + 1$
7	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
8	1	2	3	$\cdots$	$t + 1$
9	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
10	0	1	2	$\cdots$	t
11	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
12	1	3	5	$\cdots$	$2t + 1$
13	1	2	3	$\cdots$	$t + 1$
14	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
15	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
total β^1	7	17	27	$\cdots$	$10t + 7 = 5n - 3$

Thus we can always find out a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colorings λ such that its natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension δ satisfying $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = 5n - 3$. □

Lemma 4.2. *For any even integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and any odd integer $k \in [5n - 1, 5n + 3]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = k$.*

Proof. We still start at $n = 2$ and select out suitable characteristic functions whose corresponding manifolds' first Betti numbers would add up by $10t$ when repeating the last pair of coloring bricks for t times. Firstly we prepare some bricks and affixes we need in constructing the wanted coloring vectors as shown in Table 16.

TABLE 16. Bricks and affixes for λ_1^t in Lemma 4.2.

$a \in \mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	brick S_2	compatible pair that being repeated
1	34246	26513	(26513 63424)=S_2S_1=$\{21\} \triangleq A_1$

Let λ_1^0, λ_1^1 and λ_1^2 be the three non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of coloring vectors

$$[a1A_1a],$$
$$[a1A_1A_1a] \triangleq [a1A_1a] + A_1,$$

and

$$[a1A_1A_1A_1a] \triangleq [a1A_1a] + 2 \cdot A_1,$$

which are over the polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$ respectively. Their characteristic vectors are

$$(1\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 1),$$
$$(1\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 1),$$
$$(1\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 51632\ 24436\ 1).$$

The natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions are denoted as δ_1^0, δ_1^1 and δ_1^2. By calculation we have the first Betti numbers of those recovered manifolds, namely $\beta^1(M(2P, \delta_1^0))$, $\beta^1(M(4P, \delta_1^1))$ and $\beta^1(M(6P, \delta_1^2))$, are 13, 23 and 33 respectively. Thus

$$\beta^1(M((2 + 2t)P, \delta_1^t)) = 13 + 10t, \tag{4.1}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Similarly, we claim some bricks and affixes in Table 17.

TABLE 17. Bricks and affixes for λ_2^t and λ_3^t of Lemma 4.2.

$a \in \mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	brick S_2	brick S_3	b *or* $c \in \mathcal{A}(S_3)$	compatible pair that being repeated
1	24246	73153	14245	3 or 7	(73153 14245)=S_2S_3=$\{23\} \triangleq A_2$

Here we take λ_2^0, λ_2^1 and λ_2^2 to be the three non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of coloring vectors

$$[a1A_2b],$$
$$[a1A_2A_2b] \triangleq [a1A_2b] + A_2,$$
$$[a1A_2b] \triangleq [a1A_2b] + 2 \cdot A_2,$$

which are over the polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$ respectively. And denoting λ_3^0, λ_3^1 and λ_3^2 to be the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of coloring vectors

$$[a1A_2c],$$
$$[a1A_2A_2c] \triangleq [a1A_2c] + A_2,$$

$$[a1A_2A_2A_2c] \triangleq [a1A_2c] + 2 \cdot A_2,$$

which are over the polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$ respectively. Moreover, their natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions are δ_2^0, δ_2^1, δ_2^2 and δ_3^0, δ_3^1, δ_3^2. By calculation we have the first Betti numbers of these recovered manifolds, namely $\beta^1(M(2P, \delta_2^0))$, $\beta^1(M(4P, \delta_2^1))$, $\beta^1(M(6P, \delta_2^3))$ and $\beta^1(M(2P, \delta_3^0))$, $\beta^1(M(4P, \delta_3^1))$, $\beta^1(M(6P, \delta_3^3))$, are 15, 25, 35 and 17, 27, 37 respectively. Thus we have

$$\beta^1(M((2+2t)P, \delta_2^t)) = 15 + 10t, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\beta^1(M((2+2t)P, \delta_3^t)) = 17 + 10t, \tag{4.3}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

By (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we fulfill the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Lemma 4.3. *For any even integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and any odd integer $k \in [1, n-1]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = k$.*

Proof. Using the notations of $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^4$, $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^2$ and the affix elements a, b to represent elements in Table 18.

TABLE 18. Bricks and affixes for $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$ of Lemma 4.3.

$a \in \mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	brick S_2	$b \in \mathcal{A}(S_2)$	brick S_3	brick S_4	compatible pair that being repeated
1	24247	54241	3	67172	73172	(67172 54241)=S_3S_2=$\{32\} \triangleq A_1$
						(73172 54241)=S_4S_2=$\{42\} \triangleq A_2$

Firstly we find out a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope $2P$, whose coloring vector is $aS_1S_3S_2b \triangleq A$ (abbreviated as [a132b]). Its natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension is denoted by δ. Using $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$ to represent the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function of coloring vector

$$[a132\underbrace{A_1, ..., A_1}_{t_1}\underbrace{A_2, ..., A_2}_{t_2}b] \triangleq A + t_1A_1 + t_2A_2$$

over the polytope $2(t_1 + t_2 + 1)P$. Moreover $\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}$ is the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension of $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$, which is also defined on the $2(t_1+t_2+1)P$. Specially we have $\lambda^{(0,0)} = \lambda$. The coloring vectors of $\lambda^{(1,0)}$ and $\lambda^{(0,1)}$ are $[a132A_1b]$ and $[a132A_2b]$ respectively. It is necessary to make sure the compatibility of S_2 and S_4 if we want to glue A_2 after A_1. And here everything has checked to be fine. Then we calculate out the Betti numbers and arrange them in Table 19.

TABLE 19. β^1 change of $\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}$ in Lemma 4.3.

$\beta^1(M(2P, \delta^{(0,0)})) = 1$	$\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^{(1,0)})) = 1$	$\beta^1(M(6P, \delta^{(2,0)})) = 1$	...
	$\beta^1(M(4P, \delta^{(0,1)})) = 3$	$\beta^1(M(6P, \delta^{(1,1)})) = 3$	...
		$\beta^1(M(6P, \delta^{(0,2)})) = 5$	...

By former analysis in Lemma 3.13, the Betti number sequence follows certain pattern if the characteristic vectors and the adjacent matrixes change regularly. So if we have the first

three numbers lying in an arithmetic progression, then the Betti number sequence follows an arithmetic progression. From

$$\beta^1(M(2P,\delta^{(0,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta^{(1,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(6P,\delta^{(2,0)}))=1,$$

we have

$$\beta^1(M(2(t_1+t_2+1)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}))=\beta^1(M(2(t_1+t_2+2)P,\delta^{(t_1+1,t_2)})). \tag{4.4}$$

From

$$\beta^1(M(2P,\delta^{(0,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta^{(0,1)}))=3,$$
$$\beta^1(M(6P,\delta^{(0,2)}))=5,$$

we have

$$\beta^1(M(2(t_1+t_2+1)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}))+2=\beta^1(M(2(t_1+t_2+2)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2+1)})). \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$\beta^1(M(nP,\delta^{(t,\frac{n}{2}-1-t)}))=n-2t-1, \tag{4.6}$$

where n is even, and $0\leqslant t\leqslant \frac{n}{2}-1$.

Thus we finish the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

Lemma 4.4. *For any even integer $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and any odd integer $k\in[n+3,5n-5]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP,\delta))=k$.*

Proof. Keeping the notations of S_1, S_2, S_3 and their affix colorings a, b, c that claimed in Lemma 3.13. Four compatible pairs useful to this proof are arranged in Table 20.

TABLE 20. Bricks and affixes for $\lambda_i^{(t_1,t_2)}$ in Lemma 4.4.

$a\in\mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	$b\in\mathcal{A}(S_2)$	brick S_2	$c\in\mathcal{A}(S_3)$	brick S_3
1	24247	1	65372	4	35716
compatible pair that being repeated					
(42472 71635)=S_1S_3=$\{13\}\triangleq A_1$					
(42472 37265)=S_1S_2=$\{12\}\triangleq A_2$					
(65372 24247)=S_2S_1=$\{21\}\triangleq A_3$					
(65372 71635)=S_2S_3=$\{23\}\triangleq A_4$					

Firstly we seek out two non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colorings λ and $\widetilde{\lambda}$ on the polytope $2P$, whose colorings are $aS_1S_2S_3c$ and $aS_1S_2S_1a$ (abbreviated as $[a1A_4c]$ and $[a1A_3a]$). Their natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions are denoted as δ and $\widetilde{\delta}$. By calculation we have

$$\beta^1(M(2P,\delta))=\beta^1(M(2P,\widetilde{\delta}))=5. \tag{4.7}$$

Now we use $\lambda_i^{(t_1,t_2)}$ to represent the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function of coloring vector

$$[a1\underbrace{A_3,...,A_3}_{t_1}A_4\underbrace{A_1,...,A_1}_{t_2}A_i*] \triangleq [a1] + t_1A_3 + A_4 + t_2A_1 + A_i,$$

here $*$ is the corresponding affix element, which means $* = c, b, a, c$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively. Specially the characteristic vector of $\lambda_i^{(0,0)}$ is $[a1A_4A_i*]$. And $\lambda_i^{(0,0)}$ is obtained by appending the last A_i part to the coloring vector of λ. Moreover $\delta_i^{(t_1,t_2)}$ is the natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension of $\lambda_i^{(t_1,t_2)}$ over the polytope $2(t_1 + t_2 + 2)P$.

From

$$\beta^1(M(4P, \delta_i^{(0,0)})) = 5 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(6P, \delta_i^{(0,1)})) = 7 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(8P, \delta_i^{(0,2)})) = 9 + 2i,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$\beta^1(M(2(t_1 + t_2 + 2)P, \delta_i^{(t_1,t_2)})) + 2 = \beta^1(M(2(t_1 + t_2 + 3)P, \delta_i^{(t_1,t_2+1)})). \tag{4.8}$$

From

$$\beta^1(M(4P, \delta_i^{(0,0)})) = 5 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(6P, \delta_i^{(1,0)})) = 15 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(8P, \delta_i^{(2,0)})) = 25 + 2i,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$\beta^1(M(2(t_1 + t_2 + 2)P, \delta_i^{(t_1,t_2)})) + 10 = \beta^1(M(2(t_1 + t_2 + 3)P, \delta_i^{(t_1+1,t_2)})). \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\beta^1(M(nP, \delta_i^{(t,\frac{n}{2}-2-t)})) = n + 8t + 2i + 3, \tag{4.10}$$

for each n is even, and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant \frac{n}{2} - 2$.

Moreover, denoted by $\widetilde{\lambda^0}$, $\widetilde{\lambda^1}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda^2}$ the three $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of

$$[a1A_3a],$$

$$[a1A_3A_3a] \triangleq [a1A_3a] + A_3$$

and

$$[a1A_3A_3A_3a] \triangleq [a1A_3a] + 2 \cdot A_3,$$

which are the colorings of the polytopes $2P$, $4P$ and $6P$ respectively. Their characteristic vectors are

$$(1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 3,\ 7,\ 5,\ 2,\ 6,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 1),$$

$$(1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 3,\ 7,\ 5,\ 2,\ 6,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 3,\ 7,\ 5,\ 2,\ 6,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 1),$$

(1, 2, 4, 4, 2, 7, 3, 7, 5, 2, 6, 2, 4, 4, 2, 7, 3, 7, 5, 2, 6, 2, 4, 4, 2, 7, 3, 7, 5, 2, 6, 2, 4, 4, 2, 7, 1).

And the natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-colorings are $\widetilde{\delta^0}$, $\widetilde{\delta^1}$ and $\widetilde{\delta^2}$. Specially $\widetilde{\lambda^0} = \widetilde{\lambda}$, which also means $\widetilde{\delta^0} = \widetilde{\delta}$. By calculation we obtain that the first Betti numbers of the recovered manifolds are 5, 15 and 25 respectively. Thus we have

$$\beta^1(M((2 + 2t)P, \widetilde{\delta^t})) = 5 + 10t, \tag{4.11}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where t is the times of repeating the last two bricks of $\widetilde{\delta^0}$.

By (4.7), (4.10) and (4.11) we finish the proof of Lemma 4.4. And we arrange all the Betti numbers of this Lemma 4.4 together in Table 21.

TABLE 21. β^1 change for Lemma 4.4.

$\beta^1(M(2P,\delta))=5$	$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta_1^{(0,0)}))=7$	$\beta^1(M(6P,\delta_1^{(0,1)}))=9$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_1^{(0,2)}))=11$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_1^{(0,3)}))=13$	...
	$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta_2^{(0,0)}))=9$	$\beta^2(M(6P,\delta_2^{(0,1)}))=11$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_2^{(0,2)}))=13$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_2^{(0,3)}))=15$	...
$\beta^1(M(2P,\widetilde{\delta}))=5$	$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta_3^{(0,0)}))=11$	$\beta^3(M(6P,\delta_3^{(0,1)}))=13$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_3^{(0,2)}))=15$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_3^{(0,3)}))=17$	...
$(\widetilde{\delta}=\widetilde{\delta^0})$	$\beta^1(M(4P,\delta_4^{(0,0)}))=13$	$\beta^4(M(6P,\delta_4^{(0,1)}))=15$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_4^{(0,2)}))=17$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_4^{(0,3)}))=19$	...
		$\beta^1(M(6P,\delta_1^{(1,0)}))=17$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_1^{(1,1)}))=19$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_1^{(1,2)}))=21$	...
	$\beta^1(M(4P,\widetilde{\delta^1}))=15$	$\beta^2(M(6P,\delta_2^{(1,0)}))=19$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_2^{(1,1)}))=21$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_2^{(1,2)}))=23$	...
		$\beta^3(M(6P,\delta_3^{(1,0)}))=21$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_3^{(1,1)}))=23$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_3^{(1,2)}))=25$	...
		$\beta^4(M(6P,\delta_4^{(1,0)}))=23$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_4^{(1,1)}))=25$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_4^{(1,2)}))=27$	...
			$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_1^{(2,0)}))=27$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_1^{(2,1)}))=29$	...
		$\beta^1(M(6P,\widetilde{\delta^2}))=25$	$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_2^{(2,0)}))=29$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_2^{(2,1)}))=31$	...
			$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_3^{(2,0)}))=31$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_3^{(2,1)}))=33$	...
			$\beta^1(M(8P,\delta_4^{(2,0)}))=33$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_4^{(2,1)}))=35$	...
				$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_1^{(3,0)}))=37$	...
			$\beta^1(M(8P,\widetilde{\delta^3}))=35$	$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_2^{(3,0)}))=39$	...
				$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_3^{(3,0)}))=41$	...
				$\beta^1(M(10P,\delta_4^{(3,0)}))=43$	...
				$\beta^1(M(10P,\widetilde{\delta^4}))=45$	...

□

Now, from Lemma 4.1 to Lemma 4.4, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 for an even n.

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 for n is odd**

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 for an odd $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which are similar to the arguments in Section 4.

Lemma 5.1. *For any odd integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for it natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP,\delta))=n$.*

Proof. The proof simply parallels that of Lemma 3.13. Here we firstly consider the case $n=3$. We keep the notations S_1, S_2, S_3, a, b, c and three compatible pairs S_1S_2, S_1S_3, S_2S_3 as we set in Lemma 3.13.

Following the same method in Lemma 3.13, we firstly select out a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope $3P$, whose coloring function and characteristic vector are

$$[a1313c]$$

and

$$(1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 7,\ 1,\ 5,\ 6,\ 3,\ 2,\ 4,\ 4,\ 2,\ 7,\ 7,\ 1,\ 5,\ 6,\ 3,\ 4).$$

So we can figure out $\beta^1(M(3P,\lambda))=3$ from Theorem 2.3.

And then we repeat the last two bricks for t times to construct the expected coloring vector over the polytope $(3+2t)P$. The corresponding characteristic function is denoted by

λ^t. By Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 we can get its unique admissible extension δ^t, a $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring characteristic function. The sum of every column of δ^t's characteristic matrix is 1 mod 2. That is, $M((3+2t)P, \delta^t)$ is the orientable double cover of the non-orientable manifold $M((3+2t)P, \lambda^t)$. Finally we figure out the increasing law of $\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \delta^t))$ as shown in Table 22.

TABLE 22. $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta^t))$ for $n = 3 + 2t$ in Lemma 5.1.

	$n=3$	$n=5$	$n=7$	$\cdots$	$n=2a+1,\ a\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$
1	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
2	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
3	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
4	0	1	2	$\cdots$	$a-1$
5	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
6	0	1	2	$\cdots$	$a-1$
7	1	1	1	$\cdots$	1
8	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
9	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
10	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
11	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
12	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
13	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
14	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
15	0	0	0	$\cdots$	0
total β^1	3	5	7	$\cdots$	$2(a-1)+3=n$

By now we finally fulfill the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

Lemma 5.2. *For any odd number $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and any odd integer $k \in [5n-9, 5n+3]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for it natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = k$.*

Proof. Similar to Lemma 4.2, we start at $n = 3$ and select out six suitable characteristic vectors whose corresponding manifolds' Betti numbers would add up by $10t$ when repeating the last pair coloring bricks for t times.

First of all we claim some bricks and affixes in Table 23. Those bricks are useful in constructing coloring vector of the expected $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function λ_i^t.

TABLE 23. Bricks and affixes (1) for Lemma 5.2.

$a \in \mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	$b \in \mathcal{A}(S_2)$	brick S_2	brick S_3	compatible pair that being repeated
1	24247	1	65372	35716	(53726 71635)=S_2S_3=$\{23\} \triangleq A_1$
					(24724 37265)=S_1S_2=$\{12\} \triangleq A_2$
					(53726 74242)=S_2S_1=$\{21\} \triangleq A_3$

For every $i = 1, 2, 3$, let λ_i^0, λ_i^1 and λ_i^2 be the three $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of the three colorings over the polytope $3P$ shown in Table 24. Here t represents how many times the last compatible pair of λ_i^0 has been repeated.

TABLE 24. Coloring vectors of λ_i^t in Lemma 5.2.

i \ t	0	1	2
0	$[a2312a] = [aA_1A_2a]$	$[a231212a] = [aA_1A_2A_2a]$	$[a23121212a] = [aA_1A_2A_2A_2a]$
1	$[a2321a] = [aA_1A_3a]$	$[a232121a] = [aA_1A_3A_3a]$	$[a23212121a] = [aA_1A_3A_3A_3a]$
2	$[a2121a] = [aA_1A_1a]$	$[a212121a] = [aA_1A_1A_1a]$	$[a21212121a] = [aA_1A_1A_1A_1a]$

Let δ_i^t be the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions of λ_i^t, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then by calculation we have the first Betti number of the recovered manifold of $M((3+2t)P, \delta_i^t)$ is $5 + 2i + 10t$, for $t = 0$, 1 and 2. Thus

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \delta_1^t)) = 7 + 10t, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \delta_2^t)) = 9 + 10t, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \delta_3^t)) = 11 + 10t, \tag{5.3}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

Again, we set some bricks and affixes as shown in Table 25. Those items are useful in building up the coloring vectors of the expected characteristic function $\widetilde{\lambda_i^t}$.

TABLE 25. Bricks and affixes (2) for Lemma 5.2.

brick S_1	brick S_2	brick S_3	brick S_4	$d \in \mathcal{A}(S_4)$	brick S_5	$e \in \mathcal{A}(S_5)$	brick S_6	$f \in \mathcal{A}(S_6)$
34246	26513	31245	26416	3	16416	3	46452	3
compatible pair that being repeated								
(34246 26513)=S_1S_2=$\{12\} \triangleq A_0$ (31245 26416)=S_3S_4=$\{34\} \triangleq A_1$ (31245 16416)=S_3S_5=$\{35\} \triangleq A_2$ (31245 46452)=S_3S_6=$\{36\} \triangleq A_3$								

For every $i = 1, 2, 3$, let $\widetilde{\lambda_i^0}$, $\widetilde{\lambda_i^1}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda_i^2}$ be the three $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic functions of the three colorings over the polytope $3P$ shown in Table 26. Here t represents how many times the last compatible pair of $\widetilde{\lambda_i^0}$ has been repeated.

TABLE 26. Coloring vectors of $\widetilde{\lambda_i^t}$ in Lemma 5.2.

i \ t	0	1	2
0	$[a1234d] = [aA_0A_1d]$	$[a123434d] = [aA_0A_1A_1d]$	$[a12343434d] = [aA_0A_1A_1A_1d]$
1	$[a1235e] = [aA_0A_2e]$	$[a123535e] = [aA_0A_2A_2e]$	$[a12353535e] = [aA_0A_2A_2A_2e]$
2	$[a1236f] = [aA_0A_3f]$	$[a123636f] = [aA_0A_3A_3f]$	$[a12363636f] = [aA_0A_3A_3A_3f]$

Let $\widetilde{\delta}_i^t$ be the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions of $\widetilde{\lambda}_i^t$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then by calculation we have the first Betti number of the recovered manifold of $\widetilde{\lambda}_i^t$ is $11 + 2i + 10t$, for $t = 0$, 1 and 2. Thus

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \widetilde{\delta}_1^t)) = 13 + 10t, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \widetilde{\delta}_2^t)) = 15 + 10t \tag{5.5}$$

$$\beta^1(M((3+2t)P, \widetilde{\delta}_3^t)) = 17 + 10t, \tag{5.6}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Thus by (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), namely gathering these six special families of characteristic functions, we fulfill the proof of Lemma 5.2.

□

Lemma 5.3. *For any odd integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and any odd integer $k \in [1, n-1]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP, \delta)) = k$.*

Proof. Using the notations of $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^4$, $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^3$ and affixes a, b as defined in Table 27.

TABLE 27. Bricks and affixes for $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$ of Lemma 5.3.

$a \in \mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	brick S_2	$b \in \mathcal{A}(S_2)$	brick S_3	brick S_4	compatible pair that being repeated
1	24247	17532	4	53176	53147	(24247 17532)=S_1S_2=$\{12\} \triangleq A_1$
						(53176 17532)=S_3S_2=$\{32\} \triangleq A_2$
						(53147 17532)=S_4S_2=$\{42\} \triangleq A_3$

Firstly we seek out a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function λ on the polytope $3P$, whose coloring vector is $[aA_1A_2b] \triangleq [aAb]$. Its natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension is δ. Let $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$ to represent the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function of

$$[aA_1A_2\underbrace{A_2, ..., A_2}_{t_1}\underbrace{A_3, ..., A_3}_{t_2}b] \triangleq A + t_1A_2 + t_2A_3,$$

which is over the polytope $(2(t_1 + t_2) + 3))P$. Moreover $\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}$ is the natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension of $\lambda^{(t_1,t_2)}$ over the polytope $(2(t_1+t_2)+3))P$. Specially $\lambda^{(0,0)} = \lambda$. It is necessary to make sure the compatibility of S_2 and S_3 if we want to glue A_2 after A_3. And here everything has checked to be fine for the compatibility naturally lies in the pair A_2. Thus we have Betti numbers as shown in Table 28.

TABLE 28. β^1 change of $\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}$ in Lemma 5.3.

$\beta^1(M(3P, \delta^{(0,0)})) = 1$	$\beta^1(M(5P, \delta^{(1,0)})) = 1$	$\beta^1(M(7P, \delta^{(2,0)})) = 1$	...
	$\beta^1(M(5P, \delta^{(0,1)})) = 3$	$\beta^1(M(7P, \delta^{(1,1)})) = 3$	...
		$\beta^1(M(7P, \delta^{(0,2)})) = 5$	...

Then by the analysis in Lemma 3.13, the Betti number sequence follows certain pattern as the characteristic vectors and adjacent matrixes change regularly. So if we have the first

three numbers lying in an arithmetic progression, then the Betti number sequence follows an arithmetic progression. From

$$\beta^1(M(3P,\delta^{(0,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta^{(1,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(7P,\delta^{(2,0)}))=1,$$

we have

$$\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+3)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}))=\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+5)P,\delta^{(t_1+1,t_2)})). \tag{5.7}$$

From

$$\beta^1(M(3P,\delta^{(0,0)}))=1,$$
$$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta^{(0,1)}))=3,$$
$$\beta^1(M(7P,\delta^{(0,2)}))=5,$$

we have

$$\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+3)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2)}))+2=\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+5)P,\delta^{(t_1,t_2+1)})). \tag{5.8}$$

By (5.7) and (5.8) we can obtain

$$\beta^1(M(nP,\delta^{(t,\frac{n-3}{2}-t)}))=n-2-2t, \tag{5.9}$$

for each n is odd, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ and $0\leqslant t\leqslant\frac{n-3}{2}$.

Thus we finish the proof of Lemma 5.3. □

Lemma 5.4. *For any odd integer $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and any odd integer $k\in[n+1,5n-9]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ over the polytope nP, such that for the natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(nP,\delta))=k$.*

Proof. Keeping the notations of S_1, S_2, S_3 and affixes a, b, c that we used in Lemma 3.13. Four compatible pairs which are useful in this proof are arranged in Table 29.

Table 29. Bricks and affixes for Lemma 5.4.

$a\in\mathcal{A}(S_1)$	brick S_1	$b\in\mathcal{A}(S_2)$	brick S_2	$c\in\mathcal{A}(S_3)$	brick S_3
1	24247	1	65372	4	35716
compatible pair that being repeated					
(42472 57163)=S_1S_3=$\{13\}\triangleq A_1$					
(42472 53726)=S_1S_2=$\{12\}\triangleq A_2$					
(65372 72424)=S_2S_1=$\{21\}\triangleq A_3$					
(65372 57163)=S_2S_3=$\{23\}\triangleq A_4$					

Firstly we find out a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colorings λ over the polytope $3P$, whose characteristic vector is $[bS_2S_3S_1S_3c]$ (abbreviated as $[bA_4A_1c]$). Its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension is denoted by δ. By calculation we have

$$\beta^1(M(3P,\delta))=5. \tag{5.10}$$

By λ_i^{t-1}, for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$, or 4, we refer to the non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function λ with coloring vector

$$[bA_4 \underbrace{A_1, ..., A_1}_{t} A_i *].$$

Here $*$ is the corresponding affix element, which means $* = c, b, a, c$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ respectively. Specially λ_1^t is obtained by inserting $(t+1)$ A_1s into the coloring vector of λ. Denoted by δ_i^{t-1} the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension of λ_i^{t-1}, from

$$\beta^1(M(5P, \delta_i^0)) = 5 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(7P, \delta_i^1)) = 7 + 2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(9P, \delta^2)) = 9 + 2i,$$

we have

$$\beta^1(M((2t+3)P, \delta_i^{t-1})) + 2 = \beta^1(M((2t+5)P, \delta_i^t)) \tag{5.11}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Next, we select three non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-colorings $\widetilde{\lambda^0}$, $\widetilde{\lambda^1}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda^2}$ on the polytopes $3P$, $5P$ and $7P$, whose coloring vectors are

$$[aA_1A_3a],$$
$$[aA_1A_3A_3a] \triangleq [a1A_3a] + A_3$$

and

$$[aA_1A_3A_3A_3a] \triangleq [a1A_3a] + 2 \cdot A_3$$

respectively. And the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions are denoted as $\widetilde{\delta^0}$, $\widetilde{\delta^1}$ and $\widetilde{\delta^2}$. By calculating we have

$$\beta^1(M(3P, \widetilde{\delta^0})) = 5,$$
$$\beta^1(M(5P, \widetilde{\delta^1})) = 15,$$
$$\beta^1(M(7P, \widetilde{\delta^2})) = 25.$$

Now for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, denoting $\widetilde{\lambda^{t-1}}$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function of $[aA_1 \underbrace{A_3, ..., A_3}_{t} a]$ over the polytope $(2t+1)P$ and $\widetilde{\delta^{t-1}}$ as its natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension. Then we have

$$\beta^1(M((2t+1)P, \widetilde{\delta^{t-1}})) = 10t - 5, \tag{5.12}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Now using $\lambda_i^{(t_1-1,t_2)}$ to represent the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring characteristic function of coloring vector

$$[aA_1 \underbrace{A_3, ..., A_3}_{t_1} A_4 \underbrace{A_1, ..., A_1}_{t_2} A_i *] \triangleq [aA_1] + t_1A_3 + A_4 + t_2A_1 + [A_i *]$$

over the polytope $(2(t_1 + t_2) + 5)P$. In particular, the characteristic vector of $\lambda_i^{(0,0)}$ is $[aA_1A_3A_4A_i*]$. And $\delta_i^{(t_1-1,t_2)}$ is the natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extension of $\lambda_i^{(t_1-1,t_2)}$ over the polytope $(2(t_1 + t_2) + 5)P$.

From

$$\beta^1(M(7P, \delta_i^{(0,0)})) = 5 + 2i,$$

$$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_i^{(0,1)}))=7+2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_i^{(0,2)}))=9+2i,$$

for $i=1,2,3,4$, we have

$$\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+5)P,\delta^{(t_1-1,t_2)}))+2=\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+7)P,\delta^{(t_1-1,t_2+1)})), \tag{5.13}$$

for each $t\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

From

$$\beta^1(M(7P,\delta_i^{(0,0)}))=5+2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_i^{(1,0)}))=15+2i,$$
$$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_i^{(2,0)}))=25+2i,$$

for $i=1,2,3,4$, we have

$$\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+5)P,\delta_i^{(t_1-1,t_2)}))+10=\beta^1(M((2(t_1+t_2)+7)P,\delta_i^{(t_1,t_2)})), \tag{5.14}$$

for each $t\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

By (5.13) and (5.14), we have

$$\beta^1(M(nP,\delta_i^{(t,\frac{n-1}{2}-3-t)}))=n+2i+8t, \tag{5.15}$$

for each n is odd, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 7}$ and $0\leqslant t\leqslant\frac{n-7}{2}$.

Thus from (5.10), (5.11), (5.12) and (5.15) we finish the proof of Lemma 5.4. All the Betti numbers of this Lemma 5.4 have been arrange together in Table 30.

TABLE 30. β^1 change for Lemma 5.4.

$\beta^1(M(3P,\widetilde{\delta}))=5$	$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta_1^0))=7$	$\beta^1(M(7P,\delta_1^1))=9$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_1^2))=11$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_1^3))=13$	...
$\beta^1(M(3P,\widetilde{\delta^0}))=5$	$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta_2^0))=9$	$\beta^2(M(7P,\delta_2^1))=11$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_2^2))=13$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_2^3))=15$	...
	$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta_3^0))=11$	$\beta^3(M(7P,\delta_3^1))=13$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_3^2))=15$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_3^3))=17$	...
	$\beta^1(M(5P,\delta_4^0))=13$	$\beta^4(M(7P,\delta_4^1))=15$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_4^2))=17$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_4^3))=19$	...
		$\beta^1(M(7P,\delta_1^{(0,0)}))=17$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_1^{(0,1)}))=19$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_1^{(0,2)}))=21$	...
	$\beta^1(M(5P,\widetilde{\delta^1}))=15$	$\beta^2(M(7P,\delta_2^{(0,0)}))=19$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_2^{(0,1)}))=21$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_2^{(0,2)}))=23$	...
		$\beta^3(M(7P,\delta_3^{(0,0)}))=21$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_3^{(0,1)}))=23$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_3^{(0,2)}))=25$	...
		$\beta^4(M(7P,\delta_4^{(0,0)}))=23$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_4^{(0,1)}))=25$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_4^{(0,2)}))=27$	...
			$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_1^{(1,0)}))=27$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_1^{(1,1)}))=29$	...
		$\beta^1(M(7P,\widetilde{\delta^2}))=25$	$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_2^{(1,0)}))=29$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_2^{(1,1)}))=31$	...
			$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_3^{(1,0)}))=31$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_3^{(1,1)}))=33$	...
			$\beta^1(M(9P,\delta_4^{(1,0)}))=33$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_4^{(1,1)}))=35$	...
				$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_1^{(2,0)}))=37$	...
			$\beta^1(M(9P,\widetilde{\delta^3}))=35$	$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_2^{(2,0)}))=39$	...
				$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_3^{(2,0)}))=41$	...
				$\beta^1(M(11P,\delta_4^{(2,0)}))=43$	...
				$\beta^1(M(11P,\widetilde{\delta^4}))=45$	...

□

Lemma 5.5. *For k an odd integer and $k\in[1,7]$, there is a non-orientable $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring over the dodecahedron P, such that for its natural associated $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-coloring δ, we have $\beta^1(M(P,\delta))=k$.*

Proof. We only need to give out the satisfied characteristic functions to accomplish this lemma, which is given in Table 31.

TABLE 31. $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$-coloring λ and β^1 of its natural $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$-extensions of Lemma 5.5.

	λ	$\beta^1(M(P,\delta))$
1	(1 24427 17756 4)	1
2	(1 24427 73154 2)	3
3	(1 24427 35563 1)	5
4	(1 24526 36543 1)	7

□

Now, from Lemma 5.1 to Lemma 5.5, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 for an odd n, thus together with Section 4, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

1. L. Cai. On products in a real moment-angle manifold. Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, Vol. 69, No. 2 (2017), 503–528.
2. Suyoung Choi and Hanchul Park. On the cohomology and their torsion of real toric objects. arXiv:AT/1311.7056, to appear in Form. Math.
3. M. W. Davis. The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups. London Math. Soc. Monograph Series, vol. 32 (2008), Princeton Univ. Press.
4. James F. Davis and Fuquan Fang. An almost flat manifold with a cyclic or quaternionic holonomy group bounds. J. Differential Geom. 103 (2016), no. 2, 289–296.
5. M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz. Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions. Duke Math. J. 62 (1991), 417–451.
6. F. Thomas Farrell and Smilka Zdravkovska. Do almost flat manifolds bound? Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), no. 2, 199–208.
7. A. Garrison and R. Scott. Small covers of the dodecahedron and the 120-cell. Proc. Amer. Math.Soc., 131, 2002, no.2, 963–971.
8. Melvin Hochster. Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes. Ring Theory II (Proc. Second Oklahoma Conference), B. R. MacDonald and R. Morris, eds. Dekker, New York, 1977, 171–223.
9. Alexander Kolpakov, Bruno Martelli and Steven Tschantz. Some hyperbolic three-manifolds that bound geometrically. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 9, 4103–4111. Erratum to "Some hyperbolic three-manifolds that bound geometrically", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), no. 8, 3647–3648.
10. Alexander Kolpakov, Alan W. Reid. and Leone Slavich. Embedding arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. arXiv:GT/1703.10561v1(2017).
11. D. D. Long and A. W. Reid. On the geometric boundaries of hyperbolic 4-manifolds. Geom. Topol. 4 (2000), 171–178.
12. Bruno Martelli. Hyperbolic three-manifolds that embedded geodesically. arXiv:GT/1510.06325v1.
13. Robert Meyerhoff and Walter D. Neumann. An asymptotic formula for the eta invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Comment. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), no. 1, 28–46.
14. Christian Millichap. Factorial growth rates for the number of hyperbolic 3-manifolds of a given volume. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 5, 2201–2214.
15. H. Nakayama and Y. Nishimura. The orientability of small covers and coloring simple polytopes. Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005), no.1, 243–256.
16. John G. Ratcliffe and Steven T. Tschantz. Gravitational instantons of constant curvature. Classical Quantum Gravity 15 (1998), no. 9, 2613–2627.

17. John G. Ratcliffe and Steven T. Tschantz. On the growth of the number of hyperbolic gravitational instantons with respect to volume. Classical Quantum Gravity 17 (2000) 2999–3007.
18. Nikolai Saveliev. Lectures on the topology of 3-manifolds. An introduction to the Casson invariant. Second revised edition. de Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyte, Berlin, 2012.
19. Leone Slavich. A geometrically bounding hyperbolic link complement. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 15 (2015), no. 2, 1175–1197.
20. Leone Slavich. The complement of the figure-eight knot geometrically bounds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 3, 1275–1285.
21. Alexander. I. Suciu. The rational homology of real toric manifolds. arXiv:AT/1302.2342v1(2013).
22. Alexander. I. Suciu and Alvise Trevisan. Real toric varieties and abelian covers of generalized DavisC-Januszkiewicz spaces. Preprint, 2012.
23. William Thurston. Geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. Lecture notes, Princeton University, 1978.
24. Andrei Vesnin. Three-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds of Löbell type. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 28 (1987), no. 5, 50–53.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

E-mail address: majiming@fudan.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

E-mail address: fzheng13@fudan.edu.cn